

On April 22, 2019, members of the Central Linn School Board met to discuss the direction of the district's facilities. The meeting began at approximately 6:00 p.m. in the Central Linn High School's computer lab.

Members Present: Jennifer Durringer, David Karo, Dave Goracke, Rebekah Schneider and George Frasier.
Others Present: Brian Gardner, Celeste Van Cleave, Dena Crowell, Brian Tenbusch, Carie Simon, Charley Wolf and Katie Cheney.

Celeste Van Cleave started the meeting by stating what our discussion will be focused on; seeking Board guidance on the seismic rehabilitation grant and the middle school building construction. The seismic grant will fund up to \$2.49 million on improvements made on roof, walls and the roof to wall connection on the oldest part of the elementary school. When the building is rehabilitated, it will be built to seismic code. The seismic rehabilitation grant requires completion by September 2021. Additional resources are expected from legislative funds towards our middle school reconfiguration pilot project is promising in relocating the middle school to its own new modularly constructed building located at the high school campus.

Grant funds are expected to be insufficient to meet cost not covered by the grants. For additional funding, do we work with the cash reserves that we have on hand; do we make budget cuts; do we borrow money or seek a maintenance bond? If the decision is looking at a local bond for November 2019, Board will need to approve bond resolution by the regular June 2019 Board meeting. If wanting to try for a local bond in May 2020, Board will need to approve bond resolution by the January 2020 Board meeting. The junior high does not have a specified end date yet but would be ideal to have completion within the next biennium; July 2019 to July 2021.

The three smaller TDAP grants for long range facilities planning, facilities assessment and seismic assessment must be completed by December 2020. ODE approved firms will be hired to complete the three grants. The seismic assessment firm will go through the buildings and tell us what improvements need to be done. The long-range plan and facilities assessment will need to be completed in order to apply for the OSCIM Grant, which we're looking at applying for in maybe two to three years. Being a smaller district with few personnel, it would be ideal to have a project manager to help facilitate the seismic rehabilitation and junior high construction.

Individual Board opinions on the direction the District should take:

- 1) Stagger the Junior High (JH) and Elementary School (ES) projects. Start with the elementary and if we have some unknown costs, that will change the scope of the JH project. Do we ask the community for a \$2 million – 5 year bond?

2) Tear down the existing high school science wing, place the JH building there using the existing slab and utilities? Then tear down the south HS wing and so on?

Noted was that tear down versus new construction can be more costly and the slab may not be up to code.

3) Stagger the JH into a non-busy construction time of year for potentially better rates. Phase one will be to complete the ES, phase two is the JH and phase three will be the high school.

4) Ask the community a \$2 million – 5 year bond. Start the JH construction earlier rather than later to show progress.

5) Move the JH earlier, bond measure on the November 2019 election to start the ES. Seek a \$2.5 million matching bond over 5 years. Community may support a smaller bond.

6) Do the ES, push back the JH. Priority currently is to complete the ES, start construction next summer. Ask the community for a five-year bond on unknowns that come up and use the bond money to complete the JH. Keep the cash reserves. High school will come later.

7) Complete the seismic, use cash out resources on overruns. Hold off on JH until seismic is done and go for a bond to finish the JH.

8) Go for the \$1 million/5-year bond.

9) Start with the seismic rehabilitation and bond the remainder for unknown costs. With a \$2.5 million bond, hope to have enough left over to finish the JH project. If bond passes, we will not need to use resources.

Lots of discussion as to how the previous bonds were pursued. Board members talked on their efforts with the community, lack of community interest and obstacles. If a new bond is sought, be clear and concise in the message to the community. Write clean bond language. Use viable sources of communication.

Dena Crowell, Board Secretary

George Frasier, Board Chairman

Date Approved

Meeting Minutes approved on May 13, 2019.
Original minutes with signatures on file at the district office.